

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,852	01/04/2002	Michael A. Filipiak	63428-063	6735
26096 7.	590 10/08/2003		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			VAN PELT, BRADLEY J	
SUITE 350	APLE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHAM	M, MI 48009		3682	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/037,852	FILIPIAK ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bradley J Van Pelt	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic ) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ly to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the AM SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE SIGN AND THE CONTROL OF THE SIGN AND THE CONTROL OF THE SIGN AND THE CONTROL OF THE STATE OF THE SIGN AND	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So I 36(a) and the appropriate tee. The appropriate extention or (	ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul> <li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	in better form for appeal by mai	terially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	I amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	iner.			
9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paner No(s)					

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: given both definitions of incline and edge combined, the rejection is deemed proper.

TEC